

Acknowledgement of receipt of your complaint

The Commissaire à la déontologie policière has successfully received your complaint. Please print this page or save in PDF format as proof of receipt for future reference.

You will be able to print your complaint for the next 124 minutes. Once this period has expired, the information collected in this form will be deleted in order to protect the confidentiality of your personal information.

Complaint number: **PLA 25-1090**

Transaction number: **0000002997**

2025-06-02 12:49:41

We may contact you to obtain additional information to help us better understand the event that is the subject of your complaint. If this is the case, your cooperation is essential, since this information is important for the processing of your complaint.

Please notify us immediately of any change of address, e-mail or telephone number, so that we can contact you while your complaint is being processed.

Need help or answers to your questions? We invite you to visit our website: deontologie-policiere.gouv.qc.ca (<https://deontologie-policiere.gouv.qc.ca/en/>).

Our team is also available to assist you and answer your questions. Don't hesitate to contact us at 1 877 237-7897 or by e-mail at deontologie-policiere.quebec@comdp.gouv.qc.ca ([mailto: deontologie-policiere.quebec@comdp.gouv.qc.ca](mailto:deontologie-policiere.quebec@comdp.gouv.qc.ca)).

Context of your complaint

Who is filing the complaint?

Person concerned by the event

Your identity and contact information

First name

Jacqueline

Last name

Sanderson

Date of birth

1968-06-12

Gender

Woman

Address

200 Alexandre-De Prouville Street, Carignan J3L 6X2

Apartment

-

Province, region, state

Québec

Country

Canada

Main telephone number

-

Secondary telephone number

-

E-mail

jackieclairesanderson@yahoo.ca

Time and place of incident

When did the incident occur or the situation begin?

2024-06-05 I am not sure of the date.

At what time did the incident occur or the situation begin?

all day for 2 weeks I don't know.

In which city or village did the incident occur or the situation begin?

Longueuil

Where did the incident occur or the situation begin?

Longueuil Courthouse

Officer 1

What information can you provide about this person to help us identify him/her?

 I have no information to help identify this person.

First name

Julien

Last name

Levesque

Badge or identification number

-

Police force/employer

Service de police de l'agglomération de Longueuil

Vehicle number

-

Physical description

-

What exactly are you alleging against this person?

 This person said certain things.

Captain Julien Levesque made several misrepresentations in court on June 5 and 17, 2024. He admitted to having made a false report with respect to a fraudulent police report made by 6 police officers of the BIM in Longueuil. He also lied to the court by stating many false statements.

 This person did certain things.

The false statements included stating that a form entitled Demande au BIM was an administrative form for statistical purposes only but really it was not. He admitted that he had withheld information from the defendant, Samuel Roberge, and his lawyer, Ms. Sanderson.

 This person did not do or say certain things.

Made several misrepresentations to the Court and also fabricated a written report with false information in it which he later admitted contained false and misleading information. The intent of the report was to cover up misconduct by 6 police officers of SPAL who fabricated a police report.

No complaints regarding this person.

During and after the incident

Did one of the persons concerned by your complaint commit discriminatory acts or make discriminatory comments?

No

To help us understand the incident or situation, please provide further details of what happened in chronological order.

Julien Levesque and several other officers of the SPAL including Jonathan Bouchard, Martin Claveau, Didier Tanguay, Evelyne St-Germain, Sacha Genest, Viet Long Nguyen and Jean-Francois Moreau and Gabriel Savoie Bilodeau all participated in the fabrication and cover up of 2 police reports on the date of the arrest of Samuel Roberge on June 23, 2022. Viet Long Nguyen admitted that he did not even draft the report dated June 24, 2022. He testified that his initials were forged on one of the reports. Jonathan Bouchard made misrepresentations regarding a form similar to Captain Levesque by stating that a form was made for statistical purposes. The purpose of the form was to try to pretend that the police had information with respect to the type of car driven by Mr. Roberge prior to his arrest but this was not true. At the time of the anonymous tip Roberge was not driving a BMW as he was driving a Jeep and Roberge has pictures of the Jeep on the day in question with the car keys in his hand. The fabrication of the evidence related to a trial in which Didier Tanguay perjured himself on January 13, 2023. On or about June 17 or 18, 2024, Didier Tanguay admitted to having committed perjury at the trial of January 13, 2023, during his testimony. We have recordings of this as well. Evelyne St-Germain also falsely accused Roberge of being an informant of the police without any proof because he has never been registered as a confidential informant. The identity of Roberge as a CI is now public and his life is in danger in prison due to these misrepresentations. She also participated in the fabricated police reports. She admitted that her initials were on them during her testimony. She also admitted that she did not sign the report on the date indicated thereon. She admitted that this was normal practice for the BIM. St-Germain also prevented Samuel Roberge from speaking to his attorney. In fact, she did not even know that she was supposed to give him the right to speak to his lawyer immediately and based on her testimony it appears she thinks simply reading the caution card would suffice. However, neither her nor Claveau ever read the said card. None of the police officers had any notes with respect to either of the police reports. Based on the foregoing, 6 officers actually fabricated 2 police reports and the other ones assisted them by covering it up. The worst is Levesque because he fabricated a new report on March 30, 2023 in order to try to stop Sanderson from investigating when he knew there was already an issue. During his testimony of June 5, 2024, he admitted that at the time of the report he knew that the dates were falsified on the report because Jean-Francois Moreau was not even working on June 24, 2022, the date of the alleged signature. As opposed to providing this information to the defence, he attempted to cover up same. I can provide the recoding of the 2 week trial and the written pleadings with the details on the misconduct.

Have you suffered any physical injuries related to the allegations in your complaint?

No

Have you suffered any other negative consequences other than physical injuries (Example, psychological impact, property damage) in connection with the allegations made in your complaint?

Yes

Please specify.

I have been traumatized by the misconduct of numerous officers of the SPAL. I cannot believe that the SPAL would try to cover up obvious fraud, perjury etc. It is obvious that Samuel Roberge suffered the most of this misconduct and is still detained in jail notwithstanding this misconduct.

Elements in support of your complaint

At the time of the incident or situation, were you handed a document containing an event, file, statement of offence or case number?

No

Did anyone witness the incident or situation?

Yes

If you can, please identify these witnesses and their contact information

The courtroom was public and the recordings of the hearings are available on request. I can also send copies of the falsified police reports, the fake report signed by Levesque and the BIM report.

Please attach any relevant documents

Attached File(s)

Written pleadings.pdf

Reports of levesque and bouchard.pdf

False report 1.pdf

new rapport.pdf

Motion for abuse.pdf

To the best of your knowledge, is there any other evidence that concerns the incident described in your complaint, but that you are unable to provide?

Yes

Please specify.

the recordings of all the trial for 2 weeks is very large files but can be send by We Transfer

After filing your complaint

Once your complaint has been filed, we will need your participation to process it. Here's when and why:

When your participation is needed	Why we need your participation
If your complaint is referred to conciliation	To meet and discuss with the person(s) concerned by your complaint, with the assistance of a conciliator, in an effort to reach a mutual agreement.
If there is an investigation	Answer investigative questions and provide a statement.
If there is a hearing before the Tribunal administratif de déontologie policière (Administrative Tribunal for police ethics)	Testifying at a hearing before the Tribunal administratif de déontologie policière (Administrative Tribunal for police ethics).

However, you should be aware that we may communicate observations to the people who are the subject of a complaint or make recommendations to their employer, even after we have dismissed the complaint. The purpose of these observations and recommendations is to prevent breaches of the Code of ethics of Quebec police officers.

I understand that, when necessary, I will be required to cooperate in the handling of my complaint

The Police Act makes conciliation mandatory, with some exceptions. If we decide that a conciliation meeting is appropriate for your situation, would you agree to participate?

Conciliation is an opportunity for open and respectful discussion between you and the person(s) concerned by the complaint. This meeting allows you to discuss the incident in the presence of a neutral person (the conciliator).

To find out more (<https://deontologie-policiere.gouv.qc.ca/en/file-a-complaint/handling-your-complaint/conciliation>)

Yes

I declare that all the information included in my complaint is complete and true to the best of my knowledge.
I agree that my personal information may be used to process my complaint.
If I am under 14 years of age, I understand that my parent or guardian will be contacted in order to consent to the use of my personal information to process my complaint.